

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/600,567	06/20/2003	Graham Hume	· PINE-001	9640		
7590 09/28/2005			EXAMINER			
WAGNER, M Third Floor	IURABITO & HAO	DIXON, MERRICK L				
Two North Market Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
San Jose, CA 95113			1774			
				DATE MAILED: 09/28/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Α	pplication No.	Applicant(s)					
	. 1	0/600,567	HUME, GRAHAM					
Office Action Sum	mary E	xaminer	Art Unit					
	М	errick Dixon	1774					
The MAILING DATE of this Period for Reply	communication appear	s on the cover sheet	with the correspondence add	ress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY P WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FRO Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date If NO period for reply is specified above, the Failure to reply within the set or extended period by the Office later than the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFF	M THE MAILING DATE ne provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) of this communication. maximum statutory period will apriod for reply will, by statute, cause months after the mailing date	OF THIS COMMUNITY In no event, however, may oply and will expire SIX (6) Muse the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this con ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1) Responsive to communicat	ion(s) filed on 22 July 2	<u>2005</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This act	tion is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with t	he practice under Ex p	arte Quayle, 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are pendin	g in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>10-34</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allow	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are object	ted to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject	to restriction and/or ele	ection requirement.						
Application Papers			• •					
9) The specification is objected	to by the Examiner.		•					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on	_ is/are: a)□ accepte	ed or b) objected t	o by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that	t any objection to the drav	ving(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)☐ The oath or declaration is ol	bjected to by the Exam	iner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTC)-152 .				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made o a) All b) Some * c) N	- -	ority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Of	fice action for a list of the	he certified copies no	ot received.					
			ERIPLOK DIXON-					
Attachment(s)								
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing 	Review (PTO-049)		/ Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date					
Notice of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date			Informal Patent Application (PTO-	152)				
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)	Office Action	Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date	e 20050905 \				

Application/Control Number: 10/600,567

Art Unit: 1774

Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1-9 in the reply filed on 7-22-05 is acknowledged.

2

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In line 4, the phrase "ligneous material" is not understood. What makes any material ligneous?

3

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Richards et al(US 6306317 B1).

The cited reference teaches the basic claimed process including creating water based slurry of boron salts, adhesive material and mixing them together to form a fire retardant material- col 1, lines 50-60; col 9, lines 59-67; col 11, lines 58-65. It is submitted the mixtures would be independently added together, during the cited patent's process in

Application/Control Number: 10/600,567

Art Unit: 1774

the absence of unexpected results. Concerning claims 2,6-9, it is submitted the formed product, including its density, strength, w/w characteristics and compliance, i.e., types material used, are limitations directed to article limitations and are of no patentable consequences to the instant question for patentability which must be manipulatively distinct. It is submitted, however, such product properties would have been obvious if not taught and in the absence of unexpected results. Concerning claim 3, the reference teaches adding numerous material in its mixtures during the patented process- see above. The reference teaches ph manipulations- col 3, lines 29-45. as required by claim 4. It is submitted the boron particle sizes would inevitably decrease during their suspension in the aqueous universal solvent, water. This would be expected as required by claim 5.

5

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Metzner et al(US 4935457) and Richards et al(US 6517748) are cited of interest for their respective teachings and additionally to show the state of the art.

6

Applicants who wish to send a facsimile (draft copies) for the examiner's immediate review can do so by using the Examiner's personal fax number at 571-273-1520. The faxing of all papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 O.G. 30 (November 15, 1989). **NOTE: All facsimiles sent to the examiner's**

Application/Control Number: 10/600,567 Page 4

Art Unit: 1774

personal fax number should be in draft-forms and will be treated as informal.

Same facsimiles will not be entered in the related applications unless

otherwise agreed and noted by the examiner.

The fax number for all other fascimile is 571-273-8300.

Information about the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Information Retrieval system (Private PAIR).

Status inquires for published applications may be retrieved from either Private PAIR

or Public PAIR. Questions about the PAIR system should be directed to the Electronic

Business Center at 866-217-9197.

Any questions concerning the instant communication should be directed to Examiner

Dixon, at 571-272-1520, Mondays to Thursdays, between 12 noon and 8 PM, eastern

time. The examiner's supervisor, Mrs. Rena Dye, can be reached at 571-272-3186.

Merrick Dixon

Primary Examiner

Group 1700